

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/759,606	01/12/2001	Gero Offer	12758-002001/2000P01017	12758-002001/2000P01017 9443	
26161	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M		
225 FRANK BOSTON, N			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2683		
			DATE MAILED 11/12/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10				
Advisory Action	09/759,606	OFFER, GERO	₩.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	ly to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The final originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	•						
10. Other:	((a)(((a) () (a) () (a) () (

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendments do not overcome the examiner's prior art rejection. The prior art, when combined, clearly discloses systems that provide means for connecting a wireless device/phone to a vending machine. Hence the examiner disagrees with the applicant's characterization that the prior art does not "suggests ttenabling the vending machine to dispense the product in connection with the use of the cellular telephone," as described in Applicant's claim 1 Secondly, the examiner disagrees with the applicant's characterization that a cash register does not dispense anything, quite to the contrary, it dispenses money. Also, the other prior art listed disloses the concept of vending machines. The applicant also argues the examiner's interpretation of wireless communications - the examiner gives broad interpretation to all claims presented and interprets the prior art used as reading on RF/cellular communications. Lastly, the applicant argues that the additional prior art (ie. Morril, Martineau, Kawan, Griffith) do not remedy the short-comings of Miller - the examiner disagrees since the specific portions cited from the listed prior art combines to read on the applicant's claims in the examiner's opinion and therefore they are not novel. Examiner's note: The examine believes the prior art to fully read on the independent claims while the dependent claims do not add enough detail for the examiner to interpret one/any as being objectable material.

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600